

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/504,813	02/16/2000	Shuji Goto	P99,2486	6161
26263 7	7590 07/08/2002			
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION			EXAMINER	
			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
CHICAGO, IL	CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	12.
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002	100

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	(A)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/504,813	GOTO ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appl Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	olication. A proper reply to a which places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T late on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set	e of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered		•
(a) \(\sqrt{\omega} \) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	n (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number o	of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		nsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims versions.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:	
Claim(s) allowed:	,	
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on i	is a)□ approved or b)□ disa	approved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s))
10. Other: Note attached Interview Summary, PTO-413		
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Advisory Action

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Part of Paper No. 12

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation reciting "one continuous seamless layer" is a new issue that requires further search and consideration.

Patric' Pyan

Suspervisory Pater Examiner TeTechnology Center 1700